## Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3719 Filed09/28/11 Page1 of 7

1 2 3 4	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP KENT M. ROGER, State Bar No, 95987 HERMAN J. HOYING, State Bar No. 257495 JENNIFER L. CALVERT, State Bar No. 258018 One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel: 415.442.1000		
5	Fax: 415.442.1001 kroger@morganlewis.com		
6	hhoying@morganlewis.com jennifer.calvert@morganlewis.com		
7 8	Attorneys for Defendants HITACHI, LTD., HITACHI DISPLAYS, LTD., HITACHI ELECTRONIC DEVICES (USA), INC.		
9	HITACHI ELECTRONIC DEVICES (USA), INC.		
10	INITED CTATEC DICTRICT COLIDT		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)		
14	STATE OF OREGON, ex rel. John Kroger,	This Document Relates to Individual Case No.	
15	Attorney General,	3:10-cv-4346 SI	
16	Plaintiffs,	Master File No. 3:07-md-1827	
17	V.	MDL No. 1827	
18	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,	STIPULATION AND [RROPOSED] ORDER	
19	Defendants.	REGARDING TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT	
20	2 010 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO		CASE NO. 3:10-CV-4346 SI; MDL NO. 1827 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	

## Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3719 Filed09/28/11 Page2 of 7

1	WHEREAS plaintiff State of Oregon ("Oregon") filed the above captioned lawsuit on
2	August 10, 2010;
3	WHEREAS Oregon filed a first amended complaint on April 15, 2011 ("Amended
4	Complaint");
5	WHEREAS Defendants Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.
6 7	and Hitachi, Ltd. (collectively, the "Hitachi Defendants") and Defendants Chi Mei Corporation,
8	Chi Mei Innolux Corporation, CMO Japan Co., Ltd., and Chi Mei Optoelectronic USA, Inc.
9	(collectively, the "Chi Mei Defendants") jointly filed with other defendants a motion to dismiss
10	Count III in its entirety and Count IV to the extent it seeks "disgorgement of profits" as a remedy
11	on June 6, 2011;
12	WHEREAS the Court denied Defendants' joint motion to dismiss Counts III and IV of the
13	
14	Amended Complaint on July 12, 2011;
15	WHEREAS all defendants, including the Hitachi Defendants and Chi Mei Defendants,
16	entered into a stipulation with Oregon on July 21, 2011 that Defendants' deadline to answer the
17	Amended Complaint was August 12, 2011;
18	WHEREAS on July 21, 2011, the Court entered an order extending Defendants' deadline
19	to answer the Amended Complaint until August 12, 2011;
20 21	WHEREAS the Hitachi Defendants and Chi Mei Defendants entered into a stipulation
22	with Oregon on August 11, 2011 that the Hitachi Defendants' and Chi Mei Defendants' deadline
23	to answer the Amended Complaint is September 12, 2011;
24	WHEREAS on August 24, 2011, the Court entered an order extending the Hitachi
25	Defendants' and Chi Mei Defendants' deadline to answer the Amended Complaint until
26	September 12, 2011;
27	
28	

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

#### Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3719 Filed09/28/11 Page3 of 7

WHEREAS the Hitachi Defendants and Chi Mei Defendants entered into a stipulation with Oregon on September 9, 2011 that the Hitachi Defendants' and Chi Mei Defendants' deadline to answer the Amended Complaint is September 26, 2011;

WHEREAS on September 13, 2011 the Court entered an order extending the Hitachi Defendants' and Chi Mei Defendants' deadline to answer the Amended Complaint until September 26, 2011;

WHEREAS extending the Hitachi Defendants' and Chi Mei Defendants' time to respond to the Amended Complaint will not alter the date of any other event or deadline already fixed by the Court;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, Oregon, on the one hand, and the Hitachi Defendants and Chi Mei Defendants on the other hand, as follows:

Hitachi Defendants and Chi Mei Defendants will have until November 4, 2011 to answer Oregon's Amended Complaint. Hitachi Defendants and Chi Mei Defendants agree that they will work with Oregon to expedite discovery during the time period October 4 through November 4, 2011, unless changed circumstances make clear immediate responses to Oregon's requests for discovery are unnecessary.

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

## Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3719 Filed09/28/11 Page4 of 7

1	Dated: September 23, 2011	
2		HAGLUND KELLEY HORNGREN JONES & WILDER LLP
3		/s/ Michael K. Kelley
4		Michael E. Haglund (SBN 772030)
		Michael K. Kelley (SBN 853782) Shay S. Scott (SBN 934214)
5		HAGLUND KELLEY HORNGREN JONES & WILDER
6		LLP 200 SW Market Street, Suite 1777
7		Portland, OR 97201
8		Tel: (503) 225-0777 Fax: (503) 225-1257
9		mhaglund@hk-law.com
-		Counsel for State of Oregon
10		OREGON SENIOR ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
11		OREGON SENIOR ASSISTANT ATTORNET GENERAL
12		Tim D. Nord (SBN 882800)
13		1162 Court Street, NW Salem, OR 97301-4096
		Tel: (503) 947-4333
14		Fax: (503) 225-1257
15		tim.d.nord@state.or.us
16		Counsel for State of Oregon
17		MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
18		/s/ Kent M. Roger Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)
19		Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower
20		San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 442-1000
21		Fax: (415) 442-1001 kroger@morganlewis.com
22		Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays,
23		Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys at law san francisco		-4- CASE NO. 3:10-CV-4346 SI; MDL NO. 1827
JAIN FRANCISCO		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

## Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3719 Filed09/28/11 Page5 of 7

1	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
2	/s/ Sandra West
3	Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)
4	Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862) Sandra West (SBN 250389)
4	Sandra West (SBN 230389) Samantha H. Knox (SBN 254427)
5	Micah G. Block (SBN 270712)
6	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
	1600 El Camino Real
7	Menlo Park, CA 94025 Tel: (650) 752-2000
8	Fax: (650) 752-2111
	chris.hockett@davispolk.com
9	neal.potischman@davispolk.com
10	sandra.west@davispolk.com
11	samantha.knox@davispolk.com micah.block@davispolk.com
11	micaniere en come en
12	Jonathan D. Martin (admitted pro hac vice)
13	Bradley R. Hansen (admitted pro hac vice)
13	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP 450 Lexington Avenue
14	New York, NY 10017
15	Tel: (212) 450-4000
	Fax: (212) 701-5800
16	jonathan.martin@davispolk.com bradley.hansen@davispolk.com
17	bradiey.nansen@davispoik.com
18	Attorneys for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation
10	(F/K/A Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp.), Chi Mei
19	Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	

CASE NO. 3:10-CV-4346 SI; MDL NO. 1827 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SAN FRANCISCO

**FILER'S ATTESTATION** I, Kent M. Roger, am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Michael M. Kelley and Sandra West concur in this filing. /s/ Kent M. Roger Kent M. Roger Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.  $\underset{\text{Morgan, Lewis \&}}{28}$ BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CASE NO. 3:10-CV-4346 SI; MDL NO. 1827 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

SAN FRANCISCO

#### Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3719 Filed09/28/11 Page7 of 7

# **PROPOSED** ORDER Pursuant to the parties' stipulation set forth above and pursuant to Rule 6-1(a) of the Civil Local Rules, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 27, 2011 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO